IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANTHONY DEFRANCO,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.) C.A. N	lo. 04-230 E
)	
WILLIAM WOLFE, ET AL.,)	

<u>DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND</u> REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1. Vote sheets are not prepared as per policy relating to transfers; although there was a vote sheet dated May 11, 2005 at SCI-Smithfield in connection with a request to initiate procedures for transferring plaintiff to SCI-Albion. This vote sheet is Objected to as seeking information that is privileged and confidential. Furthermore, releasing this document presents security and personnel concerns because plaintiff has used similar documents produced in this case to harass and/or pressure staff. Without waiver of these objections, the vote sheet reflects that plaintiff was received at SCI-Smithfield on March 8, 2005 from SCI-Albion "as an Administrative Separation Transfer, in order to separate him from William Barr, SCI-Albion Grievance Coordinator", that Mr. Barr contact SCI-Albion by telephone on May 4, 2005 and requested that appropriate transfer procedures be initiated to return plaintiff to SCI-Albion in order to accommodate his federal lawsuit, and that the staff at SCI-Albion agreed with the transfer request. The only written comments by voters were that the transfer was "per request of SCI-Albion staff" and "if they request him it is ok with me".

Filed 05/30/2006

- 2. This request is Objected to as seeking information that is privileged, confidential, irrelevant and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible information. Providing these logs could pose as a security risk to staff, inmates and/or the institution.
- 3. These documents should be in records either already made available to the plaintiff to view or records that are in the process of being made available for plaintiff to view.
 - 4. See attached cell history of plaintiff.
- 5. This information is maintained within plaintiff's psychiatric records, which plaintiff recently had the opportunity to view.
- 6. This information relating to z-code is maintained within plaintiff's DC-15, which plaintiff recently had the opportunity to view.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. CORBETT, JR.

Attorney General

Senior Deputy Attorney General

Attorney I.D. No. 47046

Susan J. Forney

Chief Deputy Attorney General

Office of Attorney General 6th Floor, Manor Complex 564 Forbes Ave.

Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Phone: (412) 565-3520

Fax: (412) 565-3019

Date: May 11, 2006

WITNESS AFFIDAVITS AND REQUEST SLIPS SIGNED **BY STAFF**

Form DC-135A	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	
	Department of Corrections	
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		
	INSTRUCTIONS	
	Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more	
	promptly and intelligently.	
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)	2. Date:	
Officer My Jouren (ant)	5/24/06	
By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)	4. Counselor's Name	
Anthony De Franco CZ 35/8	ms. West	
0'06	5. Unit Manager's Name	
Landa Simola	M. Sterley	
Inmate Signature	7. Housing Assignment	
6. Work Assignment		
law lite. DA 31		
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.		
WHAT HAPPENS IF ANY	inmate makes potentially	
THREATENING COMMENTS ABOUT A STAFF MEMBER TO		
PROTHER STAFF MEMBER?		
MYOTHER STAFF THEMBER		
THE RESPONSE TO THIS REQUEST PROVES THAT PLAINTIFF		
WOULD HAVE RECEIVED A MISCONDUCT HAD HE MADE ANY		
"POTENTIAL THREATENING STATEMENTS" ABOUT A STAFF		
MEMBER: AND REFUTES DEFENDANTS UNSUPPORTED ALLEGATIONS.		
THIS REQUEST RESPONSE SPEAKS FOR ITSELF AND IS WRITTEN BY A VETERAN STAFF MEMBER.		
TRIII DI M VEIERMU DIMEE MEMBER.		
9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)		
REPORT IT TO ANOTHER STAFF MEMBER.		
IMMODIATLY		
•		
YOU WILL RECEIVE A MISCUNDUCT FOR		
YOU WILL RECEIT A MISCUNDUCT FUR THREATHING AN EMPWAGE.		
To DC-14 CAR only □	To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS □	
	1	
22161		
Staff Member Name Print	7/7/2002 Date 5-29-06	
1 100	digit	

UNSWORN DECLARATION

- I, Daniel Edward Francis, make the following declaration under penalty of perjury:
- 1. I have been housed on the same unit as Anthony DeFranco before his arrival here. Anthony DeFranco has not approached any staff (unless they call for him) that I have witnessed and he works all the time so I would most likely see this. Also, I know he is untrusting and does not speak to staff openly.
- 2. Anthony DeFranco also only talks with or sort of socializes with maybe a handful of inmates. When he is in the yard he sits by himself in the grass. He is not a social person.

Date: May 24, 2006

Daniel E. Francis

Case 1:04-cv-00230-MBC, Document 175-2 Filed 05/30/2006 Page 6 of 6

State ment, Anthony Cetranio Does Not talk, speak, Ask Questions or Any other Form of verbal contact with corrections staff unless He Is called upon By them Er A good Reason

He spends most of His time At work or In His cell, He only speaks to it select Few Inmates As the Ooes Not Trust many People In prison or In General

5-24-06 Brison Much